

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments in the specification and claims are of formal nature, and do not add new matter. Their entry is respectfully requested.

Claims 39-47 and 49-51 were pending and stand rejected on various grounds in this application. Without acquiescing to the propriety of the instant rejections, Claims 39-41 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer merely to expedite prosecution in this case. Further, Claims 42, 43 and 50 have been amended for clarity and for proper claim dependency. Claims 42-47 and 49 were indicated as allowable in the final Office action of January 20, 2006. Claims 42-47 and 49-51 are now pending.

Claim Objection

The disclosure was objected to allegedly because pages 65, 90 and 94-98 were blank. Applicants respectfully submit that no information is missing from the specification as originally filed although the application has the above-mentioned blank pages. The blank pages were included in the filed specification simply due to printing errors.

Moreover, the disclosure was objected to for informalities, namely, the phrase "Table 7 (cont.)" appearing inappropriately on pages 219-221. Such references have been deleted in this amendment.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §112 - Enablement

Claims 39-41, 50 and 51 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for alleged lack of enablement.

Without acquiescing to the propriety of the instant rejections, Applicants have canceled Claims 39-41 without prejudice or disclaimer, and have amended Claim 50 (and indirectly Claim 51) to depend on allowed Claim 42, merely to expedite prosecution in this case.

Therefore this rejection is moot and Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reversal of this outstanding rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, to Claims 39-41, 50 and 51.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph- Written description

Claims 39-41, 50 and 51 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for alleged lack of written description.

Without acquiescing to the propriety of the instant rejections, Applicants have canceled Claims 39-41 without prejudice or disclaimer, and have amended Claim 50 (and indirectly Claim 51) to depend on allowed Claim 42, merely to expedite prosecution in this case.

Therefore this rejection is moot and Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reversal of this outstanding rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, to Claims 39-41, 50 and 51.

The present application is believed to be in *prima facie* condition for allowance, and an early action to that effect is respectfully solicited.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1641 (Attorney Docket No. 39780-1618 P2C13). Please direct any calls in connection with this application to the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

(Panpan Gao, 43-626)

Date: March 17, 2006

By: _____

Daphne Reddy
Reg. No. 53,507

on behalf

Daphne Reddy

HELLER EHRMAN LLP
275 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: (650) 324-7000
Facsimile: (650) 324-0638

SV 2190901 v1
3/17/06 9:53 AM (39780.1618)